REMARKS

This patent application presently includes claims 1-15, all of which stand rejected. The claims are amended to overcome objections and to define the Applicants' invention more clearly. All rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Examiner objected to the drawings and required the filing of corrected drawings.

Under separate cover, the undersigned is submitting corrected, formal drawings and requests that the Examiner approve them.

Claims 2-6, 9-13 and 15 were objected to, owing to the use of a period as follows "Claim 1." In referring back to claim 1. As amended, this period has now been deleted, so this objection should be withdrawn.

The Examiner also objected to the use of capitalization for generic terms in claim 1-15. In claims 1-15 as amended, all such capitalization has been deleted. Accordingly this objection should now be withdrawn.

Claims 1-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as not particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed with respect to the claims as presently amended, and it is requested that it be withdrawn for the reasons explained below.

The claims were rejected generally as being in narrative form and having indefinite and functional operational language. As currently amended, the claims are in proper form for method claims and are believed to be definite, clear and concise. Accordingly, this basis for rejection no longer applies.

Claims 6-11 and 15 were rejected for lack of antecedent for specifically indicated terms. As presently amended, the claims no longer lack antecedent basis for those terms. In particular, it is noted that there is an antecedent basis for "the OLME" in claim 1.

Application No.: 09/778,573

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as an omnibus claim. This is not the case with amended claim 13.

As for claims 4, 5, 10 and 12, the Examiner found the use of "such as" to be unclear. Such language is no longer present in these claims.

The Examiner also found it unclear to use the term "will". This language is also no longer present in the claims.

In view of the foregoing, all rejections under 35 .U.S.C. § 112 should now be withdrawn.

Claims 1-7, 10, 11 and 14 were rejected as anticipated by Kocher, U.S. Published Patent Application No. 2003/0061150. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Kocher does not teach or even remotely suggest the subject matter of these claims.

Kocher discloses an electronic transaction system for operating a pawnbroker business. According to this system, plural customers are able to connect to a website for the purpose of pawning property or purchasing property. With respect to each customer, there is a one-to-one relationship between the customer and the pawnbroker site. This bears no relationship to an auction which is the subject matter of the claims. Specifically, each auction includes a borrower and a subgroup of the lenders. Kocher discloses only 1 lender, the pawnbroker. Thus, the concept of competition among the lenders, which is the earmark of an auction, is entirely absent from Kocher and there is not the slightest disclosure or suggestion Kocher that it be present or would be of any value in this type of a system.

Accordingly, claim 1 distinguishes patentable over Kocher and should be allowed. Claims 2-7, 10, 11 and 14 depend from claim 1 and are allowable based upon their dependence from an allowable claim. Furthermore, claim 2 provides that at least one of the lenders is an individual investor or a non-professional investor. As pointed throughout the application, in the typical credit market, the lenders are strictly professional lenders, such as banks. A fundamental distinction of the present invention as claimed in claim 2 is that it permits individuals and non-professional investors



to be lenders. This is not taught or suggested by Kocher. A pawnbroker is a professional investor. Just the fact that the individual is called a "broker" should be enough to indicate this. However, please note the statement in paragraph [006] of Kocher that "pawnbrokering is one of mankind's oldest financial institutions." In any case, a pawnbroker is in the business of making loans based on collateral so there can be no doubt that he is a professional lender, whether it is an individual or a company. For this reason, claim 2 is believed to be allowable on its own merits.

Claims 8 and 9 were rejected as obvious over Kocher in view of Examiner Official Notice. The Examiner's Notice had to do only with recognizing that the split and bulk auction formats were known at the time of the present invention. It had nothing to do with auctions as opposed to pawnbrokering, and accordingly, would not overcome the defects of Kocher as an obviousness reference. In particular, neither Kocher, nor the Official Notice, nor the combination thereof renders claims 1 or 2 obvious. Claims 8 and 9 depend from claim 1 and are allowable based upon there dependence from an allowable claim.

Claims 12, 13 and 15 were rejected as obvious over Kocher in view of Kaplan et al., published U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0095369. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Neither reference nor the combination thereof renders the present claims obvious.

The Examiner cited Kaplan for the concept of having an e-marketplace where bonds are submitted for auction or price match. The Examiner therefore concludes that it would have been obvious to modify Kocher so as to utilize recognized, independent and reputable transaction clearing entities to guarantee all trades executed on the system.

Initially, it is noted that the Examiner has cited no prior art basis teaching or suggesting the combination of Kaplan with Kocher. Furthermore, Kocker and Kaplan are not analogous art. In the first place, they relate to two very different types of systems. Kocher discloses a pawnbrokering system in which external sources are used to help evaluate the property being pawned. Kaplan is a bond marketing and auction system. These are clearly different fields. Moreover, the problems addressed by the two systems are quite different. In Kocher, the pawnbroker seeks to obtain third

Application No.: 09/778,573 10 Docket No.: 04224/1200105-US1

party support in evaluating property that is being pawned. In Kaplan, the operator of the system seeks to obtain guarantors for trades. Inasmuch as the systems are quite different and the problems addressed by the two system are different, Kocher and Kaplan are in fact non-analogous art and could not properly be combined.

Accordingly, claims 12, 13 and 15 are allowable.

Applicants' attorney has made every effort to place this patent application in condition for allowance. It is therefore earnestly requested that this application, as a whole, receive favorable reconsideration and that all of the claims be allowed as presently constituted. Should there remain any unanswered questions, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: January 30, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph B. Lerch

Kegistration No.: 26,936 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 753-6237 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Application No.: 09/778,573

Docket No.: 04224/1200105-US1

Mark-up Corpex

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Samuel S. Tenembaum

Application No.: 09/778,573

Art Unit: 3627

Filed: February 8, 2001

Examiner: Lynda C. Jasmin

For: ON-LINE MARKET ENVIRONMENT (OLME)

MARKED-UP SPECIFICATION

FEB 0 9 2004

GROUP 3600

Application for United States Letters Patent

for

On-line Market Environment (OLME) by

Samuel Sergio Tenembaum

c/o 34 East 67th. Street, 2nd. Floor New York, NY 10021

DELETE PAGE

ON-LINE MARKET ENVIRONMENT (OLME)

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application number 60/181,185 filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on February 9, 2000; the entire contents of which the a.m Provisional Application being hereby incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to a new kind of business environment where lenders and borrowers can easily meet without the presence of unnecessary mediating factors, and more particularly relates to a business method to implement this kind of Online Loan Market Environment.

BACKGROUND

The use of loans is perhaps the most important driving force of our economy. The underlying idea is simple: Not all the people or entities who have a temporary surplus of funds necessarily have a way to spend or invest that surplus in their own businesses. On the other hand, not all the people or entities who need funds to spend or invest in their own endeavours, necessarily have the required funds to do so. Thus, it is only natural that a huge credit market has developed to meet the needs and wants of lenders and borrowers alike. It is quite understandable that due to the complexity and delicate nature of this business, the smooth operation of these markets require the existence of various mediating factors in the form of financial institutions which end-up making handsom profits in the process. In other words, lenders give up precious percentage points in favour of the financial institutions, whereas borrowers pay higher interests for the loans they get, than the interest they would have paid if the loan transaction had been made directly between lender an borrower.

What our business method contemplates is using the Internet as a dynamic loan market arena where lenders and borrowers can meet and carry on with their transactions in a safe and protective environment, while enjoying optimal interest rates.

SUMMARY

A need exists for a more innovative approach to correct two main loan market inefficiencies:

Limited Access: The current financial system in the US and in the world at large allows only Banks and/or similar financial institutions to offer short or mid term financial loans, leaving aside individual investors.

High costs: The high financial spreads reflect both the banking operational costs as well as the loan portfolio performance.

The essence of our invention is to provide the means through which all investors can access this market.

The individual analysis of each operation and involved risk will allow the parties to price it effectively, without the burden and benefits of the bank's portfolio approach.

Operational costs will be reduced thanks to cutting edge Internet technologies, including digital signature.

The present model will reduce Borrowers' costs and increase Lenders' returns.

The underlying business concept can be summarized as follows:

Our invention is an Internet initiative designed to become an efficient alternative to the traditional US credit market, presently estimated at around U\$S 25 trillion and to the international markets at large. Our business model contemplates the establishment of an online C2B (what we believe to be the first consumer-to-business site ever devised) loan market environment in which, lenders and borrowers can freely compete for loans, thus resulting in a better access for all parties involved, higher returns for investors and lower financial spreads as compared to existing markets. The applicable business method will be the Caveat Emptor Reversed Auction, where Borrowers present their credit needs (hereinafter referred to as the "Insertion") and the quality of their financial backup (referred to as the "Project"), whereas the Lenders compete for the requested loans through the interest rates, after evaluating those projects on a caveat emptor basis and compete through the interest rates.

Our invention differentiates from other existing models, particularly as far as the following features are concerned:

Universality: Unlike existing Internet models, ours will be open to individual lenders. Fractionability: Split options will allow small investors to participate in large deals. Support services: Our method contemplates the creation of a trading arena that will facilitate the process by combining Internet technologies with a series of auxiliary services.

In order to promote competition, our model turns around the traditional approach to credit, in which the lenders lead the process by imposing their conditions on borrowers who, in turn, passively accept them. This traditional model imposed by Banks and other professional lenders is far from ideal. The market craves for a more efficient and competitive model. Ours comes into existence to fill in this need. This process will entail a learning process on all parties involved. In order to speed up this learning curve, we shall build up our foundations starting from a core that will include:

- 1. Quality Professional Borrowers: Corporate CFO's are already familiar with the typical professional Lenders' credit approach.
- Standardization: Our professionally designed insertion process will be both friendly and logical and will provide close online guidance.
- Our site will feature comments and analysis posted by Independent Credit Analysts and Ad-hoc Brokers. In addition to this, each project will have its own discussion forum where potential lenders will have the opportunity to comment on the projects. By promoting feedback from various factors we seek to improve the quality of the insertions.

This online environment takes advantage of several factors, such as the revolutionary advances in scoring techniques, standardization of credit application formats, e-signatures and the natural edge of the Internet to gather without restrictions borrowers and lenders in an Auction Website,. The dynamics of our method will result in substantially higher returns for lenders and cost savings for borrowers.

A thorough market analysis shows that while our model will face strong competition from traditional financial market players and e-brokers, it is certainly unique in terms of universality and added value for all parties involved. Our unique selling proposition can be resumed in two key differences with other reverse auction financial sites: First, the aforementioned universality, Secondly, unlike competing sites where the borrowers identities are kept in the dark, we believe that the borrowers' good name is one of his most precious assets, and as such, the borrower, at his own discretion, should not be prevented from using it to reduce financial costs.

Players:

- 1. The main players will be the Borrowers and the Lenders. Both will have to register with our online community before being able to present a project or bid for it.
- 2. The supporting players will be the Brokers (who will fill up the pipeline of projects and will participate in the guidance and education process), The Rating and Personal References Agencies (who will offer their credit ratings to Lenders and Guarantors alike), the Personal Referents (who will provide positive real feedback on the Borrower's credit performance), the Insurance Companies (who will offer various insurance coverage packages to the parties), Guarantors (who will collateralize the projects after signing up with our community), the Trustees (who will offer due diligence and escrow services to the

parties), the Credit Analysts (who will analyze the credit risk involved in the transactions), Auditing Firms, Title Searchers, Legal Advisors and Mediation Services and the Preferred Bank.

Our marketing strategy will lean on two main pillars:

- a) The creation of a loyal online community
- b) The establishment of strong alliances with major supporting partners

The revenue model contemplates the following sources:

- 1. Fees charged to the Borrowers
 - a. Minimum refundable insertion fees.
 - b. Relatively low success fees.
- 2. Fees to be charged to the Supporting Players
 - a. Minimum insertion fees.
 - b. Commissions.

3. Advertising fees:

It is expected that our site will attract a sizable number of eyeballs, whether registered community members or general visitors. As such, the site will generate advertising revenues from selected sponsors, mainly through Shoshkeles animated ads, as well as other sophisticated advertising methods.

4. Collateral E-Commerce fees:

Our site will host E-Commerce activities that will generate commissions per every sale.

BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing brief description, as well as further objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be understood from the following detailed description of presently preferred embodiments, with reference being had to the accompanying drawing, in

which:

FIG. 1 is a system diagram depicting the relationships between various aspects of the OLME, in accordance with the present invention;

FIG 2 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of the registration process in the OLME in accordance with one of the embodiments of the present invention.

Fig 3 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of the auction process in the OLME.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

These and other aspects of the invention will now be described in greater detail in connection with a number of exemplary embodiments.

The present invention provides a method of creating a Web-based community of reverse credit auctions where the Borrowers present their credit needs and collaterals, whereas the Lenders analyze those projects on a caveat emptor basis and compete through the interest rates they ask for the loans. As described in the previous chapter, the business model strives to correct two main loan market inefficiencies:

Limited Access: The current financial system allows only Banks and/or similar financial institutions to offer short or mid term financial loans, leaving aside individual investors (although part of this market is covered by low-yield short term bonds and Commercial Papers.) The essence of our model is to provide the means through which all investors can access this market, namely, our model is a Consumer to Business or Consumer to Consumer one (or in other words, Lenders to Borrowers).

High costs/low returns: The high financial spreads reflect both the banking operational costs as well as the loan portfolio performance. Our model will tackle both issues: On the one hand, operational costs will be significantly reduced thanks to cutting edge Internet technologies. On the other hand, the individual analysis of each operation and involved risk will allow the parties to price it effectively, without the burden and benefits of the bank's portfolio approach.

Our model will redistribute the financial spread, increasing Lenders' returns while reducing Borrowers' costs. The proportion and size of these gains will be ultimately dependent on the competition created by the model and the quality of the auctioned projects.

According to a preferred embodiment, our invention contemplates the creation of a trading arena and facilitate the process by combining Internet technology and a series of auxiliary services (the "Support Services") to be provided by strategic partners. As such, the OLME is neither a Lender nor a Borrower. Access to this online marketplace will be universal.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment, the model features the presence of essential support services which are meant to help the parties analyze the underlying risks and close the deals. In all cases, they are optional. The main players will be:

a. Brokers

Could be professional loan brokers or ad-hoc brokers (such as accountants, lawyers or other corporate advisors). Their supporting role would consist in introducing and/or vouching projects presented by borrowers.

b. Credit Rating Companies and Personal Credit Rating Companies

These players will offer to Lenders and Guarantor alike credit information
on borrowers. These reports could deal with risks previously analyzed by
the Credit Rating Companies, or new risks altogether.

If the Borrower or the Guarantor, have a credit rating and they agree to display it, they will be able to allow the Lenders to view it.

The Lender, in turn, will be able to log in the Credit Rating Company website through a link and pay for an existing credit report or order a new one.

c. Personal referents

Borrowers will be encouraged to add personal credit recommendations from acquaintances, including suppliers, customers, partners, banks, other creditors, etc.

d. Insurance Companies

These players will offer insurance coverage packages on a case by case basis, either unsolicitedly or by request. Typical examples would be insurance on accounts receivable, fixed assets, patents, stockholder or key management life insurance, or principal repayment risk.

e. Guarantors

These players are reputable individuals or entities with an impeccable credit standing, who are willing to underwrite projects for a fee. Guarantors will offer their services to Lenders by posting their conditions on projects of their choice, provided that the Borrower authorized, upon insertion, the existence of a Guarantor.

Since the Guarantor will vouch for the Borrower, he will also have to comply with the same requirements them.

The arrangements between the Guarantor and the Lender will be private and will not involve the Borrower.

f. The Trustee

This player will perform a variety of duties on a national and eventually, international scale, including the following:

┙	egistration of the parties, including signatures and empowerments	tor
	ansaction closing.	
	erification of Loan Documentation and compliance with precede	ent

conditions, as established by borrowers and implicitly accepted by the winning lender.

☐ Escrow arrangements based on "payment against delivery" mechanisms.

Ongoing collection and payment services.
Documentation custody services.
Preparation of feedback reports upon closing

g. Preferred Bank

This player will be responsible for handling the money transfers involved in each transaction.

h. Independent Credit Analysts

In addition to the Credit Rating Companies, the OLME will encourage the participation of Independent Credit Analysts. These players will analyze projects, whether solicitedly or unsolicitedly. Their resulting reports will be available online to the interested parties for a fee.

An affiliate program will be put in place with these players from day one and marketing actions will be specifically aimed at this group.

The Independent Credit Analysts will have their own popular track records, based on comments given by other parties on their historical performance and accuracy of reports.

i. Preferred Auditing firm

This player will perform special audits and due diligence upon specific lenders' request.

j. Title Searchers and Registrars These players check and corroborate the authenticity of the titles offered as collateral by the Borrowers.

k. Preferred Legal Advisor

This player will provide the standard loan documentation through our website for optional use of the parties. Amendments to standard documents will be at a cost.

1. Preferred Mediation Services Agency

This player will offer mediation services to the parties in case of dispute. Standard documentation will by default appoint this agency.

The present embodiment contemplates the following main players: Lenders and Borrowers

a. Lenders

While our site will be universal for all players alike, the Lenders will have a preponderant role. A key distinctive feature of our model lays in the fact that we shall actively promote the participation of quality individual lenders, beyond the traditional participants of the financial lending market. We strongly believe that given the adequate tools, there is a substantial investors' base to be drawn to our site. These participants will be motivated by a variety of factors, including the natural desire to obtain better returns, the gratification of handling their own decision making process, and in some cases, for risk-prone lenders, sheer speculation. The main profiles of the lenders will be as follows:

☐ Individual Investors (II):

Mostly self-confident individuals over 30, with available funds, certain finance knowledge and computer/internet literacy, usually discretional stock investors. This group will be motivated by higher yields, novelty, challenge, bidding thrill, bargain hunting, etc.

☐ Professional Corporate Investors (PCI)

Mainly Commercial Bankers, Credit unions, Savings institutions, Asset Based Lenders, Lessors and Lease funders, Factors, Mortgage Lenders. This group will be motivated by the possibility of syndicating transactions together with individual lenders, by the availability of information on potential clients and last but not least by the challenge of gaining access to the online business community through our channel.

b. Borrower's Profile
We identify three main types of borrowers:
☐ Those with current access to finance from the traditional
financial markets.
☐ Those with enough collateral to attract investors.
☐ Those with a weaker credit profile, such as start-up companies,
under-capitalized or under-collaterilized ventures, etc.
Under the preferred embodiment of the present invention, our model will result
in the following benefits for Borrowers:
□ Reduce borrowing cost particularly in short term transactions by
generating competition using proven auction mechanisms such as the
Dutch Auction.
☐ Provide an alternative and far cheaper very short term funding
mechanisms for top credits.
☐ Develop an alternative and wide funding source (and to put pressure on
banks to renegotiate terms)
□ For Public Borrowers, the possibility of offering a new product to their
existing capital markets investors' base
☐ Benchmark with similar risks.
☐ For weaker credits, the possibility of accessing to investors in addition to
banks.

According to the present embodiment, the OLME website will have the following features and tools:

The website design will be friendly and clear. Our Site will be designed to reflect a very formal and sober environment to transpire confidence.

Our goal will be to minimize the surfers' learning time to use the sites features and tools. Having said this, there are special features that will have to be contemplated. These are:

- a. The amount and diversity of the information to be provided by the Borrower on his project.
- b. The links to the supporting players.
- c. Online discussion forums
- d. A sophisticated tracking record.
- e. Our model will feature additional players (apart from Borrowers and Lenders), such as the Guarantor.
- f. Loan Documentation.
- g. Other Tools

a. Borrowers information

While the site will offer some guidelines and patterns, the Borrowers will be entitled to present their information on a discretional basis. Obviously, the quality and quantity of the disclosure offered by the Borrowers will determine to a great extent, the success and cost of the transaction. The site will actively encourage the insertion of quality transactions through customer support units, online tutorials and marketing actions.

Note: Borrowers will be asked to certify the accuracy and validity of the information provided. If they do not, a warning sign will be displayed.

Borrowers and Guarantors will be entitled to an unlimited amount of storage space at no cost in our for uploading their information. The access to this information box will be regulated by the Borrowers and Guarantors. Additionally, Borrowers with Web pages will be encouraged to set up a direct link to their URL address.

The information of each transaction will be visible only to the parties determined by the Borrowers. By default, non-registered visitors will only be able to see transaction amounts, ratings and bidding activity.

Borrowers will be able to chose between four presentation strategies. The first will be to base the presentation on the quality and market recognition of the Borrower (Name Lending). The second one will be based on the credit rating or financial position of the borrower (Rating Lending). The third will be based on the collateral offered (Collateral Lending) and the fourth will be based on the credit information directly provided by the Borrower (Credit Lending)

Needless to say, the second, third and fourth options could include the borrower's name as per his own discretion.

b. Links to access third party information

☐ Credit Rating Companies and Personal Referees

Should the borrower have a valuable credit rating, he can opt for a variety of options, such as allowing full access to the rating report or access only to the rating results or even, in a no-name auction, to delete any identificatory marks on the report.

☐ Referents

Should the Borrower have a well known and respectable supplier, customer, partner or similar willing to vouch for his credit record, he can choose to:

- Upload and display the Introductory Letter.
- Insert the referent's e-mail address or telephone. In this case our systems will provide connectivity via email or dial-up.

c. Online Discussion Forum

Each project will generate its own Online Discussion Forum, where Registered Lenders will be invited to provide constructive criticism.

d. Our tracking record mechanism

Differently from the Popular Track record schemes widely used in most auction sites, ours will feature two stages. The first one will include comments on the post-auction negotiation and loan closing, and the second, information on the debt repayment itself. Given enough time, this mechanism will be a valuable credit assessment tool.

All acting parties will develop a Track Record. Since some parties will be able to act in different roles (Lender, Borrower or Guarantor), the different Track Records of a player will be displayed together.

e. Documentation

- ☐ In order to reduce the negotiation time and concentrate the auction process in Risk assessment and bidding, a standard set of documents will be made available to the Borrower. He will be able to either:
 - Accept it.
 - Accept it partially and upload amendments
 - Reject it and upload his own loan documentation.
- ☐ Precedent conditions, Representations, Covenants, Events of Default and other standard legal loan provisions: Similarly to the Documentation, the borrower will be able to accept or amend a standard set and these will vary depending on the type of transaction to be executed.

☐ Customer support

Our model contemplates a highly skilled and specialized customer support team to meet the professional requirements of financial auctions. The emphasis will be placed on the insertion process to ensure high success

rates. At a later stage, premium customer support will be available for key players. ☐ Communication: Given the natural complexity of the items auctioned, Lenders will be allowed to post questions on the Borrowers Auction page. Borrowers, should they decide to answer, will do so in the same way. ☐ Links to Information Sources: Special links will be made available to the parties in order to: Access to industry and sector information Access to news clipping services. - Access to scoring and simulation programs. - Access to information regarding the credit and interest rates markets. ☐ Loan calculator and financial formulae ☐ Historical Auctions Interest rates curves and graphs ☐ Browsing: Lenders will be able to access auctions through different routes, including the following: - Loan type Maximum interest rate - Rating Guarantees Track Record Name or no name lending Loan Amount Tenor ☐ Additionally, commercial categories following will be featured: - Hot Closing today - New today

a5

In accordance with the preferred embodiment, the registration process will be follows: In order to operate, the various parties (Lenders, Borrowers and Guarantors) will be required to sign up to our website. Sufficient proof of identity and empowerment will have to be provided. Registration will be free of charge. General visitors will be able to browse through our site and visualize a limited amount of information as per the Borrowers' discretion.

While our site will not be liable for the quality of the information provided by the registrants, we shall do our utmost efforts in order to ensure its authenticity and bona fides. For instance, our site will conduct thorough checks (using the services of Equifax or equivalent companies, Social Security Number, Chapter XI and Chapter VII files, etc.) in order to validate the information provided and to avoid the insertion of misleading homonyms. Having said so, the parties will be constantly reminded to perform their due diligences. Upon registration, the parties will fully accept the site's terms and conditions and indemnity clauses and the binding nature of Borrowers' insertions and Lenders' bids.

q, Unless the Borrower decides to disclose his name, the identities of Lenders and Borrowers alike will remain unknown until the auction is closed.

A Premium Service will be offered to Borrowers interested in offering their projects within a closed environment made up of selected Lenders picked up by the former upon insertion.

b. Insertion

Once registered, the Borrower can proceed to insert its project for auction. This process is divided into several stages. The insertion is binding, therefore the Borrower is contractually obliged to accept the finance provided by the auction winner. In order to avoid problems, the Borrower can define upon insertion the profile types of Lenders he is not interested in receiving loans from. Insertions can be modified before the first bid is placed, provided that the modifications are not related to the auction terms as a whole. Once inserted, projects will go through the auction until it expires.

Insertions of over U\$S 5,000,000.- projects will require our prior approval to avoid reputation risks.

- ☐ Definitions: The Borrower will have to establish his position vis a vis the following items:
 - Name disclosure
 - Rating disclosure
 - Use of proceeds and repayment source
 - Collaterals
 - References
 - Credit information to be made available
 - Insertion type (normal, featured, etc.)
 - Maximum interest rate
 - Interest rate type (fixed or variable)
 - If variable, what base rate (Prime or LIBOR)
 - Loan amount
 - Amortization schedule
 - Interest payments
 - Closing and disbursement date
 - Documentation
 - Preferred trustee
 - Acceptable Lenders: with or without track record, with or without guarantor.
 - Minimum Precedent conditions: Unless expanded by the Borrower upon insertion, the Minimum Precedent Conditions for a loan closing will be the following:
 - ☐ All the documentation displayed by the Borrower in our site, has to be delivered in originals upon the notification of the winning bid.

☐ Borrower has to declare that no material changes on his financial situation and credit worthiness have occurred in relation to the presented project documentation. ☐ Borrower has to attest that to the best of his knowledge, there are no other impediments for the loan to be timely repaid that no material information has been withheld to the detriment of the Lender. ☐ In case of corporate Borrowers, originals of the corporate By Laws need to be delivered. ☐ Borrower has to certify his empowerment to sign on behalf of his corporation including signature specimens or alternative means of signature certification. □ Delivery of properly signed loan documentation and disbursement instructions. (All these conditions are subject to legal advice) c. Auction terms: ☐ The Borrower will have to decide on: - Maximum interest rate - Auction duration (between hours and 10 days) - Auction types ☐ There will be the following auction types: - Bulk: The loan amount to be auctioned is not divisible and is treated as a single item. - Split: The loan amount to be auctioned is divided in pre-defined lots by the Borrower upon insertion. If the total amount is not met, the Auction is cancelled.

- English (bulk or split): Bids are sorted by rate, amounts and time of insertion. In bulk auctions, the earliest lowest rates win the auction. In split auctions, the same criteria apply, but the lots are allocated by rate and amount until the total auction amount is met. Each lot will be allocated with its own bidding rate.
- Dutch: This type of auction is split only. Unlike the English split type, in this case, all of the winning lots receive the interest rate of the last winning bid.
- Visible or Sealed Bid auctions: Visible auctions allow all the bidders to see the unfolding of the auction, including others bids, the interest rate to beat, the nicknames of the other bidders, and the time of the bids insertions. On the other hand, Sealed Bid auctions only show the number of bids received.

d. Interest Rates

To standardize the process, all quoted rates will be done on an annual effective basis, calculated on a 30/360 days basis. Additionally, standard interest formulas will be applied in the transactions. This will be visible to all parties on the site. The interest rate risk arising from the time elapsed between the Auction closing and the disbursement will be borne by the parties.

- ☐ Maximum interest rate: This is the rate above which the bids are not accepted.
- ☐ Bidding rates: These are the rates quoted by the Lenders.

 In order to be acceptable, bids have to be in decrements of 1 basis points.
- ☐ Winning rate: Will be the lowest rate (or rates in the case of split auctions) at the closing time of the auction.

e. Auction duration

In order to enable Lenders to fully assess the risks involved in a certain auction and to fix an interest rate accordingly, the site will initially

recommend the Borrowers to set the duration of an Auction at a minimum of 10 days.

The "5 minute rule" will apply, thus the auction will end only 5 minutes after the last bid was received.

f. Bidding

Upon reviewing and selecting the auctions of interest, the bidder will download all the relevant auction material and perform his own credit analysis. Should the bidder have questions, he will be able to place them in a special board on the same auction page. The Lender will have the option to answer it. If he does, both question and answer will be visible for all parties. No direct communication between the parties will be allowed.

As a safety feature, our system will provide an online credit checklist for Lenders to be displayed before they enter their bid.

Once the bid is placed, it is binding and irrevocable. The closing of the winning bid/s will only be subject to the fulfillment of the precedent conditions. The Borrower will not be entitled to reject the winning bid unless it does not meet his insertion criteria. Our software will feature a series of warnings and alarms to make sure the bidder does not enter mistaken bids.

In order to encourage the participation of Lenders with no track record, our site will offer a free of charge "No Closing" insurance coverage for Borrowers. This insurance package will cover those cases in which a first time Lender does not close a winning bid for reasons other than the precedent conditions of the auction. The insurance compensation will be about 1 % of the loan principal amount (pro-rata temporis on an annual basis).

g. Closing

Once a winning bid is declared, the site will inform the result via email the Borrower and winning Lender/s respectively. If the site's preferred trustee has been chosen, he will also be notified. The parties will have to execute the

transaction within the parameters established by the Borrower upon insertion or within 30 days from the date of our notification, the earliest.

Upon closing, the parties will be entitled to report comments on the other parties performance, and this information will become part of the parties track record.

As a matter of policy, our site will strongly recommend the players to use either our Preferred Trustee of their own Trustee, in order to expedite the closing of the operation.

h. Confidentiality

Our site will guarantee strict confidentiality of the information received from the different players, to ensure compliance with the following:

- ☐ Access and visibility only to authorized parties.
- ☐ Safeguarding the information stored on the memory space provided to the parties.
- □ No use of the information given to us in confidence for purposes different from the ones relevant to the auction itself.
- ☐ Upon registration, all the parties commit themselves the Confidentiality clauses detailed Site's the Term and Conditions, i.e., to respect the proprietary nature of the information displayed and to use only for the Auction's purposes.

Additionally, in the case of Premium Auctions, the Borrower will have the option to require specially invited Lenders to sign a non-disclosure agreement.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment of our invention, the target borrowing markets will be:

- a. Corporate Borrowers: These high quality Borrowers who have access to bank credit lines will find in our site a valuable tool to reduce their financial cost while developing an alternative financing source. At the same time, Borrowers will be able to develop a short term debt investor base.
- b. Collaterals: Similarly to Short Term Credits, Collaterals will be key to entice our Individual Lenders' base. Particular attention will be given to Asset Finance, Commercial Mortgages, Asset Based Lending and other popular forms of collateral lending.
- c. Short Term Credits: Given our model's new approach to credit and the individual nature of our investor's base, we believe short term transactions will be the most popular type of loan.
- d. No-Consumer Credit: Although our model does not discard the Consumer credit market, it seeks to move one step higher in the credit ladder and tackle transactions of at least US\$200.000 generated by small to medium size corporations.
- e. Primary Market: Initially, our model will focus on the Primary Market, where we believe the highest cost reduction is possible and the best transactions are likely to appear. At a later stage, we shall develop our secondary market section, through which, transactions originally generated in our site as well as in others, will be transacted.

f. Private: Without discarding the public debt issuance market, we believe that the private market will enable us to create the highest savings for the parties at an initial stage.

with

In accordance to other embodiments, our invention contemplates the development of the following concepts

a. Service related:

- ☐ Secondary market: Once our lender base will be ready to interact with this market, we shall become an important venue for transacting loan assignments of all qualities, including default loans, whether originated in their primary round within or without the OLME.
- □ Currency exchange and swaps: Once our model becomes reputable in the major foreign markets (London, Frankfurt, Tokyo and Hong Kong) and we develop a client base in those markets, it will be fairly easy for us to expand our business into a double auction FX exchange.
- ☐ Ecological Credits: We shall create special auction spaces designed to meet the specific criteria of selected groups of Lenders. Such spaces could be devoted for Islamic finance, green loan, non-belic loans, third world aid loans, etc.
- ☐ City and similar public debt financing: Similarly to the ecological credits, our model will be ideal for placing public debt among individuals, thus strengthening the bond between the city and its community.
- ☐ Automatic response Loans for small and middle sizes companies: In this development we shall apply existing and proven technologies to reduce the credit assessment of

loans up to U\$S 50,000 to seconds, enabling very fast auctions. ☐ Credit Card Financing: Once the lenders market has gained size and depth, it will be possible for us to develop a system whereby purchases done by credit card can generate an automatic insertion and auction in our site. ☐ Investment Clubs and Funds: While we shall not actively participate in such institutions, we will actively promote the creation of private investors clubs and funds, especially aimed at the types of loans auctioned by us. ☐ Interest Rate lock-in: This service will be offered by third parties for Borrowers or Lenders who wish to lock-in their interest rates. ☐ Frequent users: One-step mechanisms will be implemented for frequent users based on the information available in our system. b. Marketing related ☐ Links Finance-It: We shall create alliances with selected equipment manufacturers whereby buyers will have the option to enter the details of their purchase and link up with our site to auction their financing needs, offered the purchased equipment as collateral. ☐ Links with Asset managers: Whenever possible, we shall set up alliances with non-competing Asset Managers through which mutual customers will benefit from fast link features to access our auctions arena. c. Complementary services

☐ Pop up menus: with suggestions and translations.

d. Technology related

☐ Digital signature: Once this technology becomes widely accepted, it will be offered to our clients who will still keep the option of working with ink signatures and Promissory Notes.

The preferred embodiment of our invention contemplates three well defined revenue sources: (i) Auction fees, (ii) Income sharing schemes with Support Services Providers, and (iii) other sources (such as advertising, e-commerce sharing schemes, etc.).

The Borrower will bear all the auction costs, namely the Insertion Fee and the eventual Success Fee.

Our fees will be low to entice the participation of all type of borrowers. The Success Fees are contingent and they bear a relationship with the value created by the auction.

- ☐ Insertion Fees: They are fully deductible from the Success Fees. They will vary according to several parameters:
 - Loan amount.
 - Auction type (Open, closed, Dutch, etc.). The insertion fee will be proportional to the restrictions imposed on the auction scope.
 - Presentation format (Featured, hot, etc.) These extras have not been included in our Financial Projections.
 - Our expected Average Insertion Fee will be US\$100, a number high enough to discourage non serious Borrowers.

☐ Success Fee

This fee will bear a direct relationship with the added value to the parties in terms of yield /saving and access. It will also depend on the amount and

tenor of the loan transaction. The added value will be measured as the difference between the Maximum Interest Rate as defined by the Borrower upon insertion and the final Interest Rate as per the winning bid.

☐ Reinsertion Fee

If the auction fails (i.e., there are no bids below the maximum interest rate at the closing time), then the Borrower will be entitled to re-insert his project with no charge, provided he improves at least one of the following parameters:

- Collateral.
- Higher Maximum Interest Rate.
- Reduce tenor.
- Reduced amount.

☐ Roll Over Policy

Maturing transactions will be monitored by the site and Borrowers will be invited to insert a new project, upon maturity, to refinance the previous loan at no cost.

b) Fee sharing Schemes with Support Services:

- ☐ Credit Rating Companies and Personal Credit Rating Companies

 We will negotiate a profit sharing scheme with these companies in order to
 get recognition from:
 - Introduction of new clients (i.e., new reports).
 - Visualization of reports.

Our model contemplates a rebate per report and a rebate per report visualization.

☐ Independent Credit Analysts

We will charge a minimum insertion fee plus a fee per report visualization.

☐ Guarantor

Guarantors will pay a non-refundable flat insertion fee and will not pay success fees.

Trustee
Our model contemplates a rebate per account opened and fee- per executed transaction.

Preferred Bank
Our model contemplates a rebate per account opened plus a fee per money transfer.

Fig 1 is a system diagram depicting relationships between various aspects of the On-line Market Environment 110 (OLME). The Borrower 112 presents his credit requirements for the consideration of the Lenders 114 who will analyze the project and will bid amongst them. All this process may be supported by the Support Players 116 (credit rating companies and personal credit rating companies, personal referents, guarantors, independent credit analysts, the trustee, brokers, insurance companies, preferred bank, preferred auditing firm, title searchers and registrars, preferred legal advisor, preferred mediation services).

Fig 2 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of registering with OLME. The method starts in step 210 and proceeds to step 212 where the applicant (borrower, lender or guarantor) provides relevant application information, including empowerement, to register with OLME.

OLME evaluates the application 214 and either requires clarifications 216 (in which case, the process goes back to 212), rejects it as per step 218 (in which case the process goes to end 222) or accepts it as per step 220 and goes to end step 222.

Fig 3 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of the auction process. The process starts in step 310 and goes to the insertion 312 of the project by the borrower. The insertion includes a detail of the auction terms:

- * Auction type: whether the loan is bulk or split, English or Dutch.
- * The loan duration.
- * The auction's interest rates, maximum interest rates

* The information and the support players provided by the borrower.

* Any banned lender.

All the relevant information is uploaded onto the site for review by the Lenders.

If the project is over 5 M U\$S, then it goes to step 312 for OLME's acceptance and from there it can go to the negative branch 314 if OLME declines the project, in which case the process goes to end 316, and the project is aborted, or to the positive branch 318 if OLME confirms the auction. From step 318 or from step 312, the process goes to step 320 which represents the Bidders' Evaluation.. Those bidders who are not interested go to end 322. Those interested, proceed with their bids 324 and the winning bid 326 goes to the closing of the loan 328.